

REMARKS

Please cancel Claims 1, 12 and 21 without prejudice. Claims 2-11, 13-17, 19-20, 22-29 and 31-32 are pending. Claims 2, 3, 6-11, 13-14, 17, 19-20, 22-23, 26-29 and 31-32 are amended herein. No new matter is added as a result of the claim amendments.

The Examiner has indicated that Claims 8, 20 and 28 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Applicants thank the Examiner for indicating the allowability of Claims 8, 20 and 28.

103 Rejections

Claims 1, 12 and 21

Claims 1, 12 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being anticipated by the "Specification of the Bluetooth System," Version 1.0B, dated December 1, 1999 (hereinafter, "the Bluetooth specification") in view of Detlef et al. ("Detlef;" US Patent No. 6,243,568). The subject matter of Claims 1, 12 and 21 has been incorporated into Claims 8, 20 and 28, respectively, which have been rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of their respective base claims. Accordingly, Claims 8, 20 and 28 are in condition for allowance, and a discussion of the rejection of Claims 1, 12 and 21 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) is rendered moot at this time.

Claims 2, 3, 6, 11, 13, 14, 17, 22, 23, 26 and 32

Claims 2, 3, 6, 11, 13, 14, 17, 22, 23, 26 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being anticipated by the Bluetooth specification in view of Detlef. These claims are now dependent on either Claim 8, 20 or 28, which are in condition for allowance as described above. As such, Claims 2, 3, 6, 11, 13, 14, 17, 22, 23, 26 and 32 are in condition for allowance as being dependent on allowable base claims, and a discussion of the rejection of Claims 2, 3, 6, 11, 13, 14, 17, 22, 23, 26 and 32 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) is rendered moot at this time.

Claims 4, 5, 7, 15, 16, 19, 24, 25 and 27

Claims 4, 5, 7, 15, 16, 19, 24, 25 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a). These claims are now dependent on either Claim 8, 20 or 28, which are in condition for allowance as described above. As such, Claims 4, 5, 7, 15, 16, 19, 24, 25 and 27 are in condition for allowance as being dependent on allowable base claims, and a discussion of the rejection of Claims 4, 5, 7, 15, 16, 19, 24, 25 and 27 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) is rendered moot at this time.

Claims 9 and 29

Claims 9 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a). These claims are now dependent on Claims 8 and 28, respectively, which are in condition for allowance as described above. As such, Claims 9 and 29 are in condition for allowance as being dependent on allowable base claims, and a discussion of the rejection of Claims 9 and 29 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) is rendered moot at this time.

Claims 10 and 31

Claims 10 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a). These claims are now dependent on Claims 8 and 28, respectively, which are in condition for allowance as described above. As such, Claims 10 and 31 are in condition for allowance as being dependent on allowable base claims, and a discussion of the rejection of Claims 10 and 31 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) is rendered moot at this time.

Conclusions


Based on the remarks and amendments presented above, Applicants request allowance of the present Application.

Applicants further point out that no contested claims remain in the present Application.

The Examiner is invited to contact Applicants' undersigned representative if the Examiner believes such action would expedite resolution of the present Application.

Respectfully submitted,

WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP



William A. Zarbis
Reg. No. 46,120

Two North Market Street
Third Floor
San Jose, California 95113
(408) 938-9060

Date: 5/24/04